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Rattlesnakes comprise two genera (*Crotalus* and *Sistrurus*) and have collectively become an important model system for an impressively broad array of research questions due predominantly to their broad distribution, diversity of phenotypes and natural histories, and the medical importance of their venom. Genomic information is rapidly accumulating for various rattlesnake species, and this growing foundation of rattlesnake genomic resources will expand the type and significantly increase the depth of questions we may address regarding rattlesnake biology and evolution. Here, we highlight what is currently known about the genomics of rattlesnakes and provide a brief and general introduction to practical issues involved in assembling genomes. We also identify a number of important outstanding questions and areas of research that we view as exciting frontiers that will soon be tractable to address given a greater understanding of rattlesnake genomes and genomic variation.
Relevance of genomics

Genomics is a rapidly evolving field that is capable of inferring the totality of an organism’s genetic material by sequencing its genome and computationally reassembling these data. Analysis and comparison with other sequenced genomes can facilitate intriguing inferences whereby evolutionary changes in an organism’s genome can be linked to differences in the physical attributes, or phenotype, between organisms. Increasing computational power and new computational methods, together with new sequencing technologies and massive decreases in DNA sequencing costs, have collectively made sequencing vertebrate genomes relatively affordable and tractable. This is particularly exciting for researchers whose interests fall outside the realm of traditional model organisms, because it is now feasible to generate genomic information at a massive scale for almost any species of interest. Furthermore, it is possible to use comparative genomic approaches to bridge biological information, including predictions of gene functions, for example, across different organisms to leverage existing information from traditional model systems that have been well studied to newly sequenced genomes of non-traditional model species.

Perhaps surprisingly, snakes, including rattlesnakes, have become important model systems for addressing a broad range of biological and biomedical questions. Overall, snakes exhibit a tremendous diversity of phenotypes and natural histories, which makes them a prime system for genomic research that may identify molecular genomic features that underlie differences in ecology, behavior, and phenotype. Exemplifying this, rattlesnakes have been prominent models for studying sex chromosome evolution (Matsubara et al., 2006; O’Meally et al., 2010), physiological remodeling upon feeding (Secor and Diamond, 1995; Secor and Diamond, 1998; Andersen et al., 2005), vertebrate development (Cohn and Tickle, 1999; Gomez et al., 2008; Kohlsdorf et al., 2008; Vonk et al., 2008; Di-Poi et al., 2010), metabolic adaptation and convergent evolution (Castoe et al., 2008, 2009a), and horizontal transfer of transposable elements (Kordis and Gubensek, 1997; Nobuhisa et al., 1998; Castoe et al., 2011b). Additionally, rattlesnakes continue to be important models for studying the evolution of venom toxins (Pahari et al., 2007; Mackessy, 2008; Fry et al., 2008; Hayes and Mackessy, 2010), how such toxic proteins evolve from otherwise non-toxic genes (Lentz et al., 1984; Kuipers et al., 1989; Fry et al., 2006; Kini and Doley, 2010), the development of therapeutics from these toxins (Tempone et al., 2007; Schwartz et al., 2008; Adade et al., 2010), and studies centered on treatment of snakebite (Janes et al., 2010; Ince and Gundeslioglu, 2013). They also represent important models for studying behavior (Kardong and Bels, 1998; Saviola et al., 2013), ecological specialization, and conservation (Mackessy, 2005), as some species of rattlesnakes occupy restricted ranges or are otherwise rare or endangered across parts of their range.

The extreme and unique phenotypes of snakes have long been of interest, and there is thus a rich history of scientific literature chronicling research discoveries related to these phenotypes. Although rattlesnakes represent around 1% of the ~3,600 currently described species of snakes, they are the single most intensely stud-
ied lineage of snakes. Evidence of this can be seen in the extensive volume of research that focuses on rattlesnakes, and the number of research articles that incorporate rattlesnakes has continued to increase across a broad spectrum of scientific literature (Figure 1). A similar increasing trend through time in the number of medically relevant publications involving rattlesnakes (based on PubMed) further indicates their growing importance as models for biomedical research (Figure 1). Collectively, these data demonstrate that rattlesnakes are particularly important models for research and that continued investment in the utility of this model system, including genetic and genomic resources, is highly justifiable and serves a broad research community. Given this diverse use of rattlesnakes as models for research, the forthcoming complete and annotated genomes to facilitate such research are exciting. There are many questions that have yet to be addressed or that will benefit substantially from further understanding that the availability of genomic data can provide (Glossary and Box 1).

Here, we provide a summary of the current understanding of rattlesnake genomics, a discussion of areas of rattlesnake research that would likely benefit directly from genomic studies, and an argument for utilizing emerging sequencing advances to pursue rattlesnake genomic resources.

Snake genome size

Though genome size is not necessarily correlated with the complexity of an organism, it has been suggested that it is linked to aspects of life history at a number of levels. At the genic and cellular levels, repetitive genetic elements, nuclear volume, cell size, and cell physiology are related to the size of the genome. Similarly, at the organismal level, genome size has been correlated with longevity, metabolic rate, and development (Gregory, 2001).
Glossary

**Sequencing reads:** raw data from sequencing machines are in the form of short (e.g., 100 nucleotides in length) sequencing reads that can be used to estimate a computational reconstruction of the genome.

**Flow cytometry:** a method for measuring the physical characteristics of particles using fluidics and laser technology. Applications to molecular biology include the measurement of cell size and abundance, karyotyping, and genome size estimation.

**Molecular convergence:** convergent evolution results in the same trait occurring in multiple unrelated lineages (e.g., wings in bats, butterflies, and birds). Similarly, molecular convergence involves the convergent evolution of DNA and amino acid sequences to the same sequence in two lineages. Genomic regions that have undergone convergence in distinct lineages have the ability to mislead phylogenetic inference and yield incorrect estimates of true relationships among lineages.

**Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP):** a DNA sequence variation within a species in which a single nucleotide (A, T, C, or G) is different between alleles. This type of variation is typically the most commonly observed, and tends to occur more frequently in genomic regions that do not encode proteins or other functional molecules.

**Transcriptomics:** the study of RNA produced by a cell or tissue sample at a given time.

**Differential expression:** although the genome encodes a myriad of protein-coding genes, expression of these genes (as RNA transcripts) is not necessarily constant across tissues, individuals, and time. The observed differences in gene expression are often inferred from relative abundances of specific transcripts in transcriptomic datasets.

**Horizontal transfer:** the transmission of genetic material between organisms via mechanisms other than reproduction (vertical transfer).

**Synteny:** the conservation of blocks of physically linked genomic regions between species, resulting in loci from both species mapping to a common chromosome. If two genomes share a high level of synteny, this would mean that their genes share the same order across a particular chromosome.
Three methods have been predominantly used to estimate genome size: Feulgen density (FD), static cell fluorometry (SCF), and flow cytometry (FC). When analyzed together, these data imply a high degree of variation in genome sizes across snake species. We believe, however, that this conclusion is most likely an artifact of inconsistencies and inaccuracies in particular methods. Genome size estimates from FD and SCF tend to be systematically higher, less precise, and often less accurate than estimates from flow cytometry for the same species, based on our analysis of data from snakes (Figure 2). In the presentation of snake genome size...
estimates here, we have therefore separated estimates according to methods, with the expectation that estimates based on FC are likely the most accurate and precise (Figure 3).

For data presented here, estimates of snake genome sizes were taken from the Animal Genome Size Database (Gregory, 2013). The average snake genome size estimated using flow cytometry is 1.9 billion bases, or Gbp (Figure 3a; n = 32, range = 1.5–3.0 Gbp). The estimated average viperid genome size is 2.06 Gbp (Figure 3b; n = 21, range = 1.3–3.06 Gbp) using estimates from all of the above-mentioned methods. These estimates are only slightly lower (1.81 Gbp; n = 4, range = 1.75–1.88 Gbp) when these statistics are calculated based solely on FC data (Figure 3a, b). There are currently only two genome size estimates for rattlesnakes. Using FD, Olmo (1981) provided the first rattle-snake (South American Rattlesnake, *Crotalus durissus terrificus*) genome size estimate at 1.32 Gbp (Figure 3c). Using FC, Tiersch et al. (1989) estimated the genome size of the Timber Rattlesnake, *Crotalus horridus* to be 1.75 Gbp (Figure 3c). Based on the apparent precision of flow cytometry across most snakes (Figure 2), and our estimated average from FC for viper-ids (Figure 3b, c), we suggest that rattlesnake genome sizes are likely most similar to the 1.75 Gbp of *C. horridus*.

Rattlesnake genome structure

*Mitochondrial genomes*

Animal genomes can be subdivided into nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. Genomic studies are primarily focused on the nuclear genome, though the organellar mitochondrial genome has been thoroughly studied in a multitude of species, including rattlesnakes. The mitochondrial genome, which is maternally inherited, contains genes that code for proteins involved in aerobic metabolism, as well as ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and transfer RNAs (tRNAs) that facilitate translation in the mitochondrion. The mitochondrial genome also contains a control region which is involved in both transcription
and replication of the mitochondrial genome. The mitochondrial genomes of snakes are unusual because all alethinophidian snakes (i.e., all snakes except the basal blind snakes) possess a duplicated control region of the mitochondria between the ND1 and ND2 protein-coding genes (Kumazawa et al., 1998). As a comparison of mitochondrial genome structure between alethinophidian snakes and most other vertebrates, the mitochondrial genome of the Cottonmouth (Agkistrodon piscivorus), a close relative of rattlesnake, is shown along with the Green Iguana (Iguana iguana) (Figure 4). The two control regions of snakes have nearly identical nucleotide sequences, and this sequence similarity is maintained by a poorly understood mechanism of concerted evolution (Jiang et al., 2007). Alethinophidian mitochondrial protein-coding and tRNA genes have been shortened over evolutionary time, possibly to partially make up for the added length from the two control regions (Jiang et al., 2007). There is also an alethinophian snake-specific duplication of the tRNAPro and tRNALeu adjacent to the duplicated control region (Figure 4). Thus, while most vertebrate mitochondrial genomes are ~16.5 kb in length, alethinophidian snake mitochondrial genomes tend to be ~17.3 kb (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Comparisons of snake genome size estimates from multiple methods and at several phylogenetic scales. For all panels, methods are abbreviated: (FD) Feulgen density, (SC) static cell fluorometry, and (FC) flow cytometry. A) Genome size estimates for all snake species separated by the method used to estimate genome size. B) Genome size estimates for viperid species (Viperidae) separated by the method used to estimate genome size. C) Available rattlesnake genome size estimates, with the average viperid genome size estimate based on FC also indicated (dashed line).
it is known that several snake lineages, including rattlesnakes, undergo tremendous fluctuations in physiology after eating a large meal, which involves metabolic up-regulation that greatly exceeds metabolic flux in almost any other vertebrate (Secor and Diamond, 2000). It is likely that the duplicate control regions of snakes, which are hypothesized to act as origins of genome replication and transcription, play a role in facilitating this exceptional metabolic up-regulation (Jiang et al., 2007; Castoe et al., 2009b). In addition to the duplicate control regions of the mitochondria, snake mitochondrial genes have undergone extensive adaptation and paired co-evolutionary changes in amino acid sequences of multiple mitochondrial proteins, which implies that snakes may have evolved a highly unique and specialized aerobic metabolism relative to other vertebrates (Castoe et al., 2008). While snake metabolism is certainly unique among vertebrates, a subset of the adaptive pressures that influenced extensive molecular remodeling of snake mitochondrial proteins may have also been applied to other squamate lineages, based on evidence for extensive molecular convergence across multiple mitochondrial proteins that has occurred between ancestral snake lineages and agamid lizards (Castoe et al., 2009a).

Figure 4. Mitochondrial genome annotation map for *Agkistrodon piscivorus* and *Iguana iguana* adapted from Jiang et al. (2007). Although the convention is to label loci according to the strand from which they are transcribed (i.e. heavy versus light strand), for simplicity we display control regions, ribosomal RNAs, and protein-coding genes on the outside and transfer RNAs (indicated by the letter representation of the amino acid they encode) primarily on the inside of the map.
**Nuclear genome chromosomal structure and sex chromosomes**

Snake nuclear genomes are comprised of macrochromosomes and microchromosomes, the main difference between the two being size. Although microchromosomes are smaller than macrochromosomes, they tend to be richer in gene content (Smith et al., 2000). Relative to other tetrapod groups, chromosome number in snakes tends to be highly conserved; most species possess ~36 chromosomes, with ~16 macrochromosomes and ~20 microchromosomes (Organ et al., 2008). Karyotypes and synteny have also apparently been highly conserved during 280 million years of reptile evolution. For example, 19 out of 22 anchored chicken chromosomes are syntenic to a single *Anolis* chromosome over their entire length (Alfoldi et al., 2011). The sex chromosomes of snakes were shown to be homologous in different families (Matsubara et al., 2006; O’Meally et al., 2010), and correspond to chromosome 6 of Reeves’ Butterfly Lizard, *Leiolepis reevesii* (Srikulnath et al., 2009) and the Green Anole, *Anolis carolinensis* (Vicoso et al., 2013). All rattlesnakes, and pitvipers (Crotalinae) in general, are thought to possess a diploid number of 36 chromosomes (Zimmerman and Kilpatrick, 1973).

All snakes appear to have ZW genetic sex determination (but see Booth and Schuett, 2016), and their sex chromosomes reveal increased differentiation in a phylogenetic gradient from the morphologically “primitive” pythons to the more “advanced” colubrids, elapids, and viperids (Matsubara et al., 2006; Vicoso et al., 2013). Thus, some lineages appear to have minimally divergent sex chromosomes, making it difficult to discern between the two sex chromosomes based on macromolecular microscopic structure and chromosomal staining patterns (pythonids, boids), whereas most colubroid snakes, including rattlesnakes, have well-differentiated sex chromosomes. Among rattlesnake groups there appears to be some variation in the structure of the sex chromosomes, with *Sistrurus* species possessing an acrocentric W chromosome, and all *Crotalus* species possessing either a submetacentric or subtelocentric W chromosome (Zimmerman and Kilpatrick, 1973).

Analysis of W-linked genomic regions in the Wandering Garter Snake (*Thamnophis elegans*) and Pygmy Rattlesnake (*Sistrurus miliarius*) suggests an ancient cessation in recombination between the Z and W chromosomes that share ancestral homology with the *Anolis* chromosome 6, leading to conserved, shared regions. Thus, it appears that a substantial amount of differentiation between the Z and W chromosomes of colubroid snakes occurred in ancestral colubroids, predating the common ancestor of the Garter Snake and Pigmy Rattlesnake (Vicoso et al., 2013). Transcriptome analysis in both the Boa Constrictor (*Boa constrictor*) (weakly differentiated sex chromosomes) and Pygmy Rattlesnake (*S. miliarus*) (highly differentiated sex chromosomes) indicates that, unlike mammals, heteromorphic ZW chromosomes in rattlesnakes lack chromosome-wide dosage compensation (Vicoso et al., 2013). Further knowledge of additional snake genomes may substantially contribute to our understanding of the origins and the sex determining genes for squamate reptiles. Also, knowledge of molecular markers that are sex chromosome-specific might also contribute to our ability to evaluate the importance of sex-biased gene flow and dispersal in population genetic studies of rattlesnakes.
Molecular evolutionary rates in snake genomes
Rates of molecular evolution also differ substantially across reptile lineages. While turtle genes evolve remarkably slowly compared to other sequenced amniotes (Shaffer et al., 2013), snake nuclear genes are apparently among the fastest to evolve (Castoe et al., 2013). This trend holds when considering all sites in protein-coding genes together, as well as for synonymous neutrally evolving third codon positions (Castoe et al., 2013). Analyses of more than 40 nuclear genes for over 150 squamate reptiles indicates a trend of accelerated neutral evolution in the ancestral lineages of squamate reptiles, snakes, and colubroid snakes that include the rattlesnakes (Castoe et al., 2013). Furthermore, rates of evolution for Z-linked genes in snakes, including rattlesnakes, are increased relative to their pseudoautosomal homologs, both at synonymous and amino acid sites (Vicoso et al., 2013). These findings collectively suggest that rates of evolution in snakes are exceptionally high (Castoe et al., 2013), and that mutation rates may be male-biased as they are in other animals (Vicoso et al., 2013).

Repeat element landscapes of rattlesnake genomes
Much of our perspective on vertebrate genome structure and diversity comes from sequenced mammalian genomes, though new sequence-based information on reptilian genome structure and content is emerging rapidly (Shedlock et al., 2007; Kordis, 2009; Novick et al., 2009; Piskurek et al., 2009; Castoe et al., 2011a, 2013; Vonk et al., 2013). Repeat elements are ubiquitous among vertebrate genomes and large portions of squamate genomes are composed of repeat elements, similar to patterns in mammals. The small number of squamate genomes sequenced indicates a highly diverse repertoire of repeat element types (Figure 5) (Shedlock et al., 2007; Castoe et al., 2011a, b, 2013), relative to the genomes of mammals and birds. High quality annotated squamate reptile genome assemblies exist for Anolis (Alfoldi et al., 2011), and in snakes, for the Burmese Python (Python molurus bivittatus; Castoe et al., 2013) and King Cobra (Ophiophagus han nah; Vonk et al., 2013). Low-coverage (<1-fold coverage) partial samples of several other genomes have also been published and analyzed, including the close relative of rattlesnakes, the Copperhead (Agkistrodon contortrix) and the Western Diamond-backed Rattlesnake (Crotalus atrox). Genomic sample-sequencing and analysis of unassembled random genomic “shotgun” sequencing libraries from two snake species (Python molurus bivittatus and Agkistrodon contortrix) determined that while genome size does not vary much across snakes (Figure 2), repeat element relative abundances can vary widely (Figure 5). Most of the differences in repeat content between snake species apparently stem from differences in abundance of many different repeat element types and families, instead of simple expansion or contraction of one or few repeat element groups (Castoe et al., 2011b, 2013).

Two groups of non-LTR retrotransposons, CR1 LINEs and Bov-B LINEs, are highly abundant and apparently active in snake genomes. Across major lineages of snakes, the advanced snakes (colubroids, including rattlesnakes) have some of the highest percentages of genomic repeat elements (Castoe et al., 2011b, 2013),
with *Python* having among the lowest. This is interesting because it appears that these major changes in repetitive content have occurred despite a low variance in genome size across snakes (Figure 2). In some cases, the abundance of certain repeat element groups in snake genomes also appears highly variable over relatively short evolutionary distances. For example, *A. contortrix* and *C. atrox* have been estimated to share a common ancestor approximately 13.63 million years ago (Reyes-Velasco et al. 2013), yet *C. atrox* shows notably higher levels of Bov-B LINEs and lower levels of L2/CRI/Rex LINEs, Gypsy/DIRS1 DNA transposons, and unclassified elements than does *A. contortrix* (Figure 5).

Transposable elements occasionally contain microsatellite or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) on their tails, making them capable of seeding new microsatellite repeat loci in the genome. Snake genomes have emerged as the most extreme example of this phenomenon among vertebrates (Castoe et al., 2011b). Analyses of *P. m. bivittatus* and *A. contortrix* genomes highlight a conspicuous increase in SSR and low-complexity content in snake genomes, indicating a putative increase in genomic SSR evolution and turnover in snakes (Castoe et al., 2011b). More intriguingly, this change must have occurred subsequent to a lull in SSR evolution and turnover earlier in the reptilian lineage (Shedlock et al., 2007). Comparisons

Figure 5. Comparison of the readily-identifiable genome repeat content among squamate reptiles, including the lizard *Anolis carolinensis*, and the snakes *Python molurus bivittatus*, *Agkistrodon contortrix*, and *Crotalus atrox*. Data based on analysis of complete assembled genomes for *Anolis* (Alfoldi et al., 2011) and *Python* (Castoe et al., 2013), and unassembled genomic sequence datasets for *Agkistrodon* (Castoe et al., 2011) and *Crotalus* (Castoe et al., 2013). Select repeat element families, and broader membership of these in major repeat element types, are shown on the horizontal axis. The vertical axis indicates the proportion of the total genome, or genome sample, comprised by various repetitive element types. Results are based on analysis using RepeatMasker with custom snake-specific repeat element libraries (Castoe et al., 2011b, 2013).
of the Copperhead (A. contortrix) with the python indicate the relative abundance of Snake1 CR1 LINEs have increased dramatically, a result mirrored in C. atrox and likely other colubroids (Castoe et al., 2013). Specifically, a majority of all SSRs in the Copperhead are one of three closely related sequence motifs (AGA, AGAT, or AGATA), which represent the microsatellite tails of Snake1 CR1 LINEs.

Microsatellites are known to alter genomic recombination structure and rates, potentially facilitating unequal crossing-over events and leading to tandem duplication of segments of the genomes. Most venom genes are derived from non-toxic gene families that experienced gene duplication (Casewell et al., 2012), and the current model for the evolution of venom toxins (at least in snakes) includes tandem duplication of genes (Ikeda et al., 2010). Snake1 CR1 LINEs, which seed these microsatellites, have also been demonstrated to occur at high frequency throughout phospholipase venom genes in viperid snakes (Ikeda et al., 2010), in numerous other venom genes in viperid and elapid snakes (Castoe et al., 2011b), and in HOX gene clusters in colubrid snakes (Di-Poi et al., 2010). This provides evidence that transposable elements, and the microsatellites they seed, may have played a role in the evolution and expansion of venom loci in snakes. Emerging sequence data from rattlesnakes, including C. atrox and Crotalus viridis, will likely provide further evidence necessary to confirm or refute this emerging model of venom loci evolution. Indeed, given the phylogenetic diversity, widespread distribution, and relatively high abundance of rattlesnakes, especially in the United States, the group may emerge as an ideal comparative model for understanding the differentiation of venom genes and venom composition at the population and species level.

Looking forward – integrating genomics into future research on rattlesnakes

Genomics of unique rattlesnake characteristics

Rattlesnakes exhibit a suite of extreme and unique phenotypes that have inspired research in diverse fields including behavior, ecology, physiology, developmental biology, genetics, and toxinology. As genomic resources increase, it will be possible to identify the molecular basis of important phenotypic traits in rattlesnakes. With our expectation that an understanding of rattlesnake genomics will increase rapidly in the near future, we outline below several rattlesnake characteristics that have been of particular interest in the literature. We also discuss ways in which genomic approaches have previously been utilized to provide insight into rattlesnake origins and biology, and how our expanding knowledge of such characteristics will be better served by continued accumulation of genomic resources.

None of the innovations in the evolution of rattlesnakes is as novel or conspicuous as the rattle itself (see Reiserer and Schuett, this volume, Rattle Origin; Meik and Schuett, this volume, Rattle Evo-Devo). Though tail vibration in widespread in snakes, the rattle is unmatched in its degree of specialization for sound production and the specialization of the physiology associated with rattle vibration (Moon, 2001). There are multiple hypotheses regarding the evolutionary context in which the rattle may
have evolved (Schuett et al., 1984; Greene, 1988, 1997), and rattle morphology, physiology, and development have been well-studied (Moon, 2001; Meik and Pires-DaSilva, 2009). Despite interest in research related to rattle evolution, genetic and genomic resources have not been used to identify loci involved in its development or specialization. As in the case of the pit organ, there are likely many genes responsible for the rattle phenotype, so comparative genomics and transcriptomics between rattlesnakes and rattle-less snakes may aid in identifying rattle genes (Meik and Schuett, this volume, Rattle Evo-Devo). Genome scans for positive selection in a similar comparative framework may also reveal genes involved in rattle development and associated specialized physiology.

Rattlesnakes are among the three lineages of snakes known to possess a sophisticated infrared sensory system (Lillywhite, 2014). While the mechanism and utility of infrared (IR) sense were previously unknown, there is evidence that it is an extension of the visual spectrum, such that snakes are able to “see” thermal energy (Goris, 2011). Infrared sense has evolved several times in snakes (boids, pythonids, and crotalines) and has led to novel facial pit organ phenotypes that are innervated by temperature-sensitive branches of the trigeminal nerve (Molenaar, 1979). The pit organ of rattlesnakes and other pitvipers are highly specialized and are considerably more sensitive than those of pythons and boas (Bakken and Krochmal, 2007). There are multiple hypotheses regarding the evolutionary utility of IR sense in pitvipers. Although the most popular explanation is prey acquisition, others include predator detection (Greene, 1992), thermoregulation (Krochmal and Bakken, 2003), and den site selection (Sexton et al., 1992) as possible important roles.

The first steps toward understanding the molecular basis of IR sense have been taken. A thermally sensitive ion channel that is abundant in the nerve fibers of IR sensing snakes, termed TRPA1, was identified as the gene responsible for detecting ambient temperature fluctuations (Gracheva et al., 2010). Using a transcriptomic comparison of thermo-sensory pit-bearing snakes (including C. atrox) and pitless snakes, TRPA1 was the only gene differentially expressed, indicating a putative role in IR sense (Gracheva et al., 2010). TRPA1 has also been found to be under strong positive selection in pit-bearing snakes, but not in pit-less snakes (Geng et al., 2011). While these findings are certainly exciting, there is no doubt that a larger suite of genes is responsible for the pit organ phenotype and for facilitating IR sense. Thus, further genomic and transcriptomic resources may directly enable our ability to identify these genes, and provide context for their evolution in pit-bearing snakes.

Other than large-bodied boids and pythonids, rattlesnakes are among the only snakes demonstrated to exhibit extreme fluctuations in organ size and function, and overall physiology, after consuming a large meal (Secor et al., 1994). Rattlesnakes, boas, and pythons are generally sit-and-wait predators, tending to feed infrequently on prey that is large relative to their body size (Greene, 1992, 1997; Nowak et al., 2008). In
order to digest these large prey items adequately, their bodies undergo massive swings in oxidative metabolism, as has been demonstrated in the Sidewinder Rattlesnake, *Crotalus cerastes* (Secor et al., 1994) and Burmese Python, *P. m. bivittatus* (Secor and Diamond, 1998). In addition to exceptional metabolic fluctuations, many organs increase extensively in mass, including the heart, liver, kidneys, and intestine, increasing 35–100% within only a few days after feeding (Secor and Diamond, 1998). Because genomic methods can reveal differences in gene-specific expression patterns that may underlie these tremendous physiological and phenotypic swings, rattlesnakes and other snakes hold great potential for understanding how these intriguing (and medically-relevant) feats may be accomplished in a vertebrate system. With the availability of the *P. m. bivittatus* genome, we can more closely study the regulation of these genes in fasted and post-fed states in order to identify protein pathways that are involved in extreme remodeling that comes with the life-history trait of infrequent feeding. Many of the genes in pythons with significant expression level changes upon feeding are homologs to human genes that are associated with development, diseases, and metabolism (Castoe et al., 2013). As more information about this extreme remodeling in snakes become available, new information about the flexibility, function, and mechanics of how these responses work in snakes is expected to provide important insight that may eventually contribute to understanding and treating human diseases, and provide new insight into the flexibility and functional constraints of important conserved developmental and physiological pathways.

**Population genetics, phylogeography, and systematics**

Knowledge of population genetic structure and demography within a species provides an important context for understanding and making inferences about adaptation, speciation, population ecology, and historical processes which have shaped the evolution of a lineage. Relatively few population genetic studies, however, have been conducted on rattlesnake populations, and those that have been conducted have only focused on two species: the Timber Rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus*; Villareal et al., 1996) and the Eastern Massasauga Rattlesnake (*Sistrurus catenatus catenatus*; Gibbs et al., 1997). These studies used microsatellite markers to infer population genetic patterns and structure, but their resolution was limited due to the availability of relatively few microsatellite loci (<15). The detection, amplification, and sequencing of microsatellites has previously been expensive and time-consuming, but recently-developed techniques that utilize cost- and time-efficient next-generation sequencing to develop thousands of microsatellites for snake species have solved the problem of having few markers to choose from (Castoe et al., 2010, 2012, 2013; Oyler-McCance et al., 2013). The development of restriction site-associated DNA (RAD) sequencing further utilizes genome-wide markers and enables one to survey the genome for SNPs (Peterson et al., 2012). A number of groups are currently and successfully using these markers in snakes, including *Crotalus* species. Though collecting thousands of genome-wide SNPs per individual a powerful method, RADseq analysis is more robust when mapped to a reference genome, thus highlighting the further utility of rattlesnake reference genomes.
In contrast to the scarcity of rattlesnake population genetic research, there have been many studies on the phylogeography and systematics of rattlesnakes. Most such studies have used only a small and select set of mitochondrial and nuclear loci to discern inter- and intra-specific relationships (e.g., Pook et al., 2000; Castoe et al., 2007; Bryson et al., 2011a, b). Matrilineal mitochondrial protein-coding loci have been useful markers for understanding phylogenetic relationships, but may underestimate or misrepresent the full scope of population genetic patterns for multiple reasons (e.g., they do not capture patterns of male-biased gene flow; Meik et al., 2012). The small number of nuclear loci used to date, however, have proven to be of relatively little utility in resolving rattlesnake relationships (Reyes-Velasco et al., 2013; see Wüster, this volume, Phylogeny). Despite the number of publications focused on rattlesnake systematics, the phylogenetic affinities of several rattlesnake lineages are still unresolved. Incomplete lineage sorting, low phylogenetic signal of chosen loci, and problematic and mislabeled sequences on GenBank (Reyes-Velasco et al., 2013) have contributed to difficulties in resolving rattlesnake relationships. Thus, incorporating a larger number of nuclear genetic markers sampled from throughout the genome would likely greatly clarify questions of rattlesnake relationships and phylogeography. Continued efforts to establish complete genomic and transcriptomic information for multiple lineages of rattlesnakes would provide a wealth of much-needed information, which could be used to develop such a large panel of nuclear loci.

**Interaction of genomics and venomics**

The use of high-throughput technologies for genomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic analysis has greatly improved our understanding of rattlesnake venoms, and snake venomics in general (Pahari et al., 2007; Gibbs and Mackessy, 2009; Vonk et al., 2013; see Mackessy and Castoe, this volume, Venom). Addressing questions regarding the evolutionary origins of venom genes will allow a deep understanding of their structure and context within the genome. Unfortunately, what is currently known about rattlesnake venom genes largely lacks genomic context because it is based on transcripts from venom glands. It, therefore, only provides information about the transcribed exonic and adjacent untranslated transcribed regions, making it difficult to relate levels of mRNA transcripts directly to functional venom toxins. Genomic sequence will provide information about intronic regions and venom gene promoters. Promoter sequences for venom genes have demonstrated unique cis-elements that have been proposed to be responsible for the changes in gene expression during gene recruitment in the venom gland; however, this has been shown not to be the case for all venomous snakes and has yet to be explored in rattlesnakes (Kwong et al., 2009; Vonk et al., 2013). Rattlesnake venom gene promoter sequences would provide needed insight into venom gene expression and regulation. Rattlesnake venom gene intronic regions have been shown to be highly conserved, while exonic regions have demonstrated diversification and accelerated mutation rates (Doley et al., 2008). Even though intron sequences show greater conservation, the number of venom gene introns has been found to differ from their non-toxin protein homologs and could be linked to venom gland recruitment and venom gene duplication events (Sanz et al., 2012).
Venom genes often occur in duplicated tandem arrays, forming large multigene families and multiple protein isoforms (Pahari et al., 2007; Ikeda et al., 2010). These are thought to be the result of evolutionary duplication of ancestral non-toxic protein coding genes that have been subfunctionalized and or neofunctionalized into venom toxins (Casewell et al., 2012). Gene duplication allows for a selective advantage and flexibility over the optimization of just a single protein product (Casewell et al., 2012). Multiple venom genes also contribute to gene dosing effects, which has been observed for the protein crotamine in the South American Rattlesnake (*Crotalus durissus*) with multiple gene copies correlated with venom crotamine concentrations (Oguiura et al., 2009). Identification of venom pseudogenes, genes that have resulted from gene duplication only to become nonfunctional due to mutations, in the rattlesnake genome would provide more support of a birth-and-death model of venom protein evolution (Fry et al., 2003). However, a limitation to both transcriptomic and genomic data sets is that because of the propensity for multiple gene duplication in several venom protein families (Heyborne and Mackessy, 2013), important functional differences between nearly identical gene products can be virtually impossible to discern.

Alternative splicing of venom gene transcripts further contributes to the diversity of venom proteins (Siigur et al., 2001) and can make translating information from transcriptomic data difficult. For these reasons, the distinction between different splice forms, different alleles, and different yet similar loci is difficult in the absence of well-assembled and annotated genomes. In many ways, the anticipated availability of well-assembled reference genomes for rattlesnakes will provide a valuable context for linking genetic variation with venom variation, and will facilitate accurate links between transcripts and translated venom toxins.

**Conclusions**

Rattlesnakes represent an intriguing and highly valuable model system for a great diversity of biological research areas, including questions about venom evolution and variation, gene evolution, vertebrate natural history, conservation, and many topics with direct and indirect medical relevance. They also represent an important and distinct branch on the vertebrate tree of life, on which many unique adaptive phenotypes have evolved, including infrared sense, physiological remodeling, venoms, and, of course, the rattle. The extensive body of literature incorporating rattlesnakes highlights their impact and significance as a model system, and provides a critical foundation for further research and investment. Although rattlesnakes have been relatively poorly represented in studies of genomic diversity, and genome resources for rattlesnakes and their close relatives are currently minimal, this area is growing rapidly. While there is much for us to learn about rattlesnake genomes, what is currently known is quite exciting (e.g., mitochondrial genome structure, sex chromosomes, repeat element content and diversity) and provides a strong justification for continued investments in these model systems, including generation of more rattlesnake genomic resources.
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